# Indiana Election Commission Minutes September 18, 2009

Members Present: Thomas E. Wheeler, II, Chairman of the Indiana Election Commission ("Commission"); S. Anthony Long, Vice Chairman of the Commission; Daniel A. Dumezich, member of the Commission; Sarah Steele Riordan, member of the Commission.

Members Absent: None

**Staff Attending:** J. Bradley King, Co-Director, Indiana Election Division of the Office of the Indiana Secretary of State (Election Division); Pamela Potesta, Co-Director of the Election Division; Leslie Barnes, Co-General Counsel of the Election Division; Dale Simmons, Co-General Counsel of the Election Division; Abbey Taylor, campaign finance staff, Election Division; Michelle Thompson, campaign finance staff, Election Division.

Also Attending: Dr. Raymond Scheele, Co-Director of the Voting System Technical Oversight Program (VSTOP), Ball State University; Dr. Joseph Losco, Chair, Political Science Department, Ball State University; Dr. Jay Bagga, Co-Director of VSTOP, Ball State University; Mr. Ian Piper, formerly of Premier Election Solutions, currently employed by Election Systems & Software; Mr. Kyle D. Conrad, Governmental Business Systems; The Honorable Pam Mishler-Fish, Porter County Circuit Court Clerk; The Honorable Susie Kirk, Vanderburgh County Circuit Court Clerk; The Honorable Rita Glenn, St. Joseph County Circuit Court Clerk; Ms. Angie Nussmeyer, Marion County Election Board; Ms. Kate Cruikshank, chair of the Monroe County Voting Systems Advisory Council; Ms. Jennifer Ping.

## 1. Call to Order

The Chair called the September 18, 2009 meeting of the Commission to order at 9:30 a.m. in Room 233 of the Indiana State House, 200 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana.

The Chair noted that proper notice of the meeting had been given, as required by state law. A copy of the meeting notice, agenda, and designations of proxy are incorporated by reference in these minutes. [Copies of all documents incorporated by reference are available for public inspection and copying at the Election Division Office.]

#### 2. Transaction of Business

The Commission transacted the business set forth in the Transcript of Proceedings prepared by Ms. Rhonda J. Hobbs, RPR, of Connor and Associates, Inc. A copy of this document is incorporated by reference in these minutes.

The following corrections of scrivener's errors in this document are approved by the Commission:

Page 5, lines 21 through 23 are amended to read "replacement ballots if those initials happen to be absent. If it's the pleasure of the Commission,"

Page 5, line 25 is amended to read "incorporated as an amendment to Order 2009-313. I'll."

Page 8, line 15, replace "only on" with "on any of".

Page 16, line 25, replace "advocate" with "abdicate".

Page 23, line 15, replace "Cyber" with "Ciber".

Page 24, lines 7, 13, 15, and 22, replace "Cyber" with "Ciber".

Page 25, line 12, replace "Cyber" with "Ciber".

Page 27, line 18, replace "Cyber" with "Ciber".

Page 38, line 1, replace "mustard" with "muster".

Page 54, line 4, delete "(Phonetic)".

Page 60, line 17, replace "Wiley" with "Wyle".

Page 70, line 1, replace "the staff or" with "as the staff of".

Page 74, line 7, replace "Kinnesaw" with "Kennesaw".

Page 75, line 13, delete "(Phonetic)".

Page 100, line 3, delete "(Phonetic)".

## 3. Adjournment

There being no further items on the Commission's agenda, the Chair entertained a motion to adjourn. Mr. Dumezich moved, seconded by Ms. Riordan, that the Commission do now adjourn. The Chair called the question, and declared that with four members voting "aye" (Mr. Wheeler, Mr. Long, Mr. Dumezich and Ms. Riordan), and no Commission member voting "no," the motion was adopted. The Commission then adjourned at 11:30 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

J. Burdley King J. Bradley King

Pamela Potesta Co-Director

Pamela Potesta

APPROVED:

Co-Director

Daniel A. Dumezich

Chairman

Swiezde

# ORIGINAL

INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION PUBLIC MEETING AGENDA
POBLIC WEETING AGENDA
Date: Friday, September 18, 2009
At: Indiana State House 200 West Washington Street
Room 233 Indianapolis, Indiana 4620
indidnapolis, indidna 1010
A STENOGRAPHIC RECORD BY:
Rhonda J. Hobbs, RPR Notary Public
Stenographic Reporter

Connor + Associates, Inc. 1650 One American Square Indianapolis, IN 46282 (317) 236-6022 24 25

li		
1	11 MM 1 MARKS TORNE	INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION
2		Thomas E. Wheeler, II (Chairman)
3	Μr	S. Anthony Long, Commission Member Daniel A. Dumezich, Commission Member
4	Mr. Ms.	Sarah Steele Riordan, Commission Member
5		
6		
7		INDIANA ELECTION DIVISION
8	MΥ	Dale Simmons - Co-General Counsel
9	Ms.	Leslie Barnes - Co-General Counsel Bradley King - Co-Director
10	Ms.	Pamela Potesta - Co Director Michelle Thompson
11		Abby Taylor
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: We'll go ahead and convene the public session of the Indiana Election Commission. We are meeting in the Indiana State House, Room 233, pursuant to prior notice. I note that we do have a quorum. All four commissioners are here and present.

First item of business on our agenda is the approval of the minutes of the August 6th, 2009 meeting, and the September 18th, 2009 executive session.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: So moved.

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Second it.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Motion has been made and seconded to approve the minutes. All those in favor, signify by saying aye?

THE COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Those opposed, same sign?

(No response.)

1.2

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Motion carries.

Next on the agenda is Order 2009-311,
Approval of Absentee, Campaign Finance, and
Candidate Forms. Brad, Pam, who's...

MR. B. KING: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to refer to my colleague, Miss Potesta, to answer

questions in that regard.

MS. P. POTESTA: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, you have before you a series of forms that have been updated recently. Brad has done all the leg work on it. We have absentee forms, campaign finance forms, and some candidate forms for your review and approval.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: On the absentee form, what were the changes -- I see we have English and Spanish, what were the changes on the absentee forms?

MS. P. POTESTA: Brad, do you answer that?

MR. B. KING: Sure. Mr. Chairman and Members of the Commission, in 2009 session of the general assembly, a new reason or new qualification was added to permit a voter to cast an absentee ballot by mail, and that was a public safety officer or a member of the military, and so that box has been added to the absentee form, and that's the only change.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: There's no provision for a photo ID?

MR. B. KING: There is no provision for a photo ID.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: That is part of the

7	absen
6	
7	ABS-2
8	
9	is ir
10	corre
11	•
12	
13	all t
14	The A
15	
16	
17	lot k
18	
19	abou <sup>+</sup>
20	
21	you
22	
23	form
24	addr
25	typo

2

3

4

Court of Appeals' decision that indicated that absentee ballots were a differential classification, and therefore, struck down one or two days ago. Any other questions on the absentee forms or the campaign finance?

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: We have ABS-1 and ABS-2

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: As I understand it, 1 is in person, and the other one is by mail; correct?

MS. P. POTESTA: Correct.

MR. B. KING: Mr. Chairman, the ABS-1 have all the options available in person or by mail. The ABS-2 is --

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Is only by mail?

MR. B. KING: Is only by mail. It's used a
lot by political parties or campaign members.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Are we going to talk about all of the forms, the CFA?

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Absolutely. Brad, do you just want to go through them?

MR. B. KING: Sure. The campaign finance forms are amended to give an updated e-mail address for the commission and also to correct a typo with regard to the Federal Election

Commission. That's CFA 1, 2 and 3, the statements of organizations for different types of committees.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So the changes there are just an e-mail address and the type of committee?

MR. B. KING: Yes, that's correct, and then the candidate forms are for the primary. The CAN-2, the Declaration of Candidacy, the CAN-9, the Legal Notice, is filed by the candidates for primary elections, and CAN-10, the Primary Election Candidate Withdrawal.

On each of those, the dates have been updated to 2010, or in the case of the legal notice, it's been made generic so we won't have to come back and ask for a change in that form in years, and that's it, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And these declarations, that applies to both state and federal candidates?

MR. B. KING: Yes, that's correct.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Motion to approve.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I've got a motion to approve, any seconds?

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Second

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Motion's been made 7 and seconded, any further discussion? 2 3 (No response.) CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Hearing none, all in 5 favor, signify by saying aye? 6 THE COMMISSIONERS: Aye. 7 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Those opposed, same 8 sign? 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Commissioner Riordan, 11 did you... VICE CHAIR A. LONG: She voted. 12 13 COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 14 15 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Motion passes unanimously. Order No. 2009-311 has been 16 17 approved. We now have Ratification of Campaign 18 Finance Settlement. I quess. 19 MS. M. THOMPSON: Yes, Mr. Chairman and 20 Members of the Commission, behind the form 21 there's one settlement agreement that we have to 22 ratify, that has agreed to pay the settlement 2.3 and waive the hearing.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Any questions

regarding the settlement agreement?

24

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All in favor of ratifying the campaign finance settlement agreement for Richard Wood for State

Superintendent, signify by saying aye?

THE COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Those opposed, same sign?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Motion carries. It is approved. No. 5 on the agenda is Voting System Certification Applications, which I assume that most of the people are here for. As I understand it, the way we've got this set up is Ball State is going to make a brief presentation as to their oversight responsibility. This is a new responsibility that Ball State has undertaken for the Commission, and Ray, if you're ready to go, introduce your people, and give us an idea of how well you guys are doing?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm Ray Scheele from Ball State University and the Voting Center for Public Affairs, which I co-direct. I'd like to

introduce as well my colleagues. This is Dr.

Joe Losco, who is part of our project as well,
who also serves as the chairperson of the

Political Science Department at Ball State. I

am also the project co-director of the Voting

System Technical Oversight Program, along with

Dr. Jay Bagga, who is a professor of computer

science there at Ball State University.

You -- in the three-ring binder that you have in front of you, we've prepared an appendix. If you go to the appendix tab there, you will find several documents that -- the first one provides a chronology of the project, as well as a protocol that we developed in cooperation with the co-directors of the Election Division, Brad and Pam.

Second, are the procedures that we would follow with respect to the recertification and certification requests from the vendors of voting systems. Also, you will find in there different working papers that we developed, again, with a lot of cooperation from staff, checklists.

We are charged primarily with taking a look at all of the documentation that the vendors

· 19

have with respect to the operations and functions of their voting systems and also focusing primarily upon the Indiana statutory requirements; that is, the federal labs will test at federal standards, but we have no real checklists, no real follow through with respect to how the vendors were addressing some of these statutory requirements here in Indiana, so that's what we focused on directly.

There's other working papers in there -- we also have other working papers, that if there's anything you would like to see -- we didn't want to put everything here in the binders, but we have spreadsheets that categorized all of these checklists, and we can certainly make any of those working papers available to you as well.

We also have a log that we haven't shared in your packet of all of the vendor communications that we have had through time -- e-mails, as well as telephone conversations.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So you kept track of all that?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Not just certified mail, but every communication that you've had

with the vendors?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct. So with that, we will leave for your nighttime reading the appendices, but if you have any questions at any time, feel free to contact any of the three of us.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Actually, I've skimmed the appendices. I want to talk a little about some of the information that's in the appendices because --

MR. R. SCHEELE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: -- I think it's relevant to what we're going to do in a minute.

No. 1, just as a general matter, as I understand it, Ball State has agreed to step in through the VSTOP Program to handle some of the stuff that this Commission and the Division was handling before, in terms of reviewing the applications, and in particular, getting a demonstration of the machinery.

We used to have -- the vendors would come in and actually demonstrate the machines to us, which frankly -- well, we all tend to be very perfunctory, and we certainly appreciate Ball State stepping in and doing it. I assume yours

is not a perfunctory review. When you have a demonstration of this, you go through and -- when you do a demonstration, what are you looking for -- I know you schedule them for multiple days, I think 14 to 16 to bring them in and demonstrate?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Very good. Let me quickly summarize the way we did this. First off, we contacted all of the vendors and we gave them some dates earlier this month in September to come in with their equipment that they had applied for recertification or new certifications.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Ray, can I back you up just a little bit? As I understand it, in your appendices, one of the things you say — that early in the process when you became the vendor to do this, you began a notification process for all of the election vendors to tell them that you have — that you are now the person to do this and then you gave them an idea what the deadlines were and what the process would be; correct?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And you documented

2.3

all of that, in terms of certified letters and information?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct, we have a log of all of the vendor communications.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So there wouldn't be an excuse for the vendors to say we didn't understand, we didn't know, etc.?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And you indicated here that you advised them that the deadline was October 1st for recertification for election systems; is that correct?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And you sent them certified mail, and you discuss in here, and I don't know if you're going to go through that right now in your appendices, your efforts to contact and deal with the various vendors.

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct. There is a copy of the vendor correspondence that we sent out initially notifying them of this process, correct.

chairman T. Wheeler: And then if you can go through -- I just skimmed through the appendices, go through that process then -- you

advised them, and then what did you do?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct. We advised them of their applications that we were now taking a look at the documentation records that the vendors had of their voting systems, and again, with the focus on the Indiana standards, but we also wanted to take a look at all of the lab results that they had from the federal labs, because often, of course, there would be some overlap.

What we then did is we got back to the vendors -- if they didn't reply promptly, we got back with them and reminded them again. Brad and Pam, when they received communications from the vendors, they referred the vendors to us as well. Consequently, we ended up with two vendors bringing their equipment.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Let me back you up.

The original notification went to four vendors,
as I understand it, from your appendices?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Hart InterCivic, ES&S, MicroVote and Premier?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And you requested a

series of documentation, requested documentation 1 from them? 2 3 MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct. 4 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: As I understand your 5 note, you scheduled them -- to quote your 6 appendices, scheduling an appointment to 7 demonstrate their respective voting systems during the period September 14-16 on the campus 8 9 of Ball State. 10 MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct. 11 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So you gave them 12 notice that that was the time you were going to 13 have these and they should bring their equipment 14 in and do that? 15 MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct. 16 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And you talked about 17 how they would be tested, and you gave them a 18 sample ballot, which I think is at the end. 19 MR. R. SCHEELE: It's at the end. 20 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: It's a Tippecanoe 21 ballot? 22 MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct. 23 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And then as I 24 understand it, two responded and two didn't?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

Two said they

could meet those deadlines and two other vendors said that they -- it was impossible to meet the September deadlines.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Who said they could?

MR. R. SCHEELE: The two that said that
they could not was Premier and ES&S. We have
tentative dates trying to accommodate them for
October but...

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: That's past the October 1st deadline?

MR. R. SCHEELE: That's correct, that's past the October 1st deadline. MicroVote and Hart InterCivic did come to the September dates with their equipment and set it up, and we saw the demonstration. We self-test. We went through sample ballots. We went through disability checklists, so we covered it.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And you feel that's a pretty necessary process?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: It's been the statute that they should demonstrate to us, you guys are doing that, and two of the vendors said basically we don't have the time to do it?

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: How do we advocate our

duty? Maybe I've missed the boat here. It seems to me from reading the contract that this entire operation in the State House is out of the loop down, the State Office Building.

The contract notices for the State all go to the Secretary of State, the grantee, the contractor, Ball State -- I didn't know anything about this process until it was already signed, and I certainly have never voted to assign my duties as a commissioner to Ball State.

As long as I've known Ray Scheele -- I certainly don't have anything against him, but the last I saw, the governor signed a certificate saying I was a commissioner, not Ball State University.

And I mean, I don't know, what's the Division doing now? I don't understand. It was my understanding from when I saw this they're doing a technical analysis to see if the equipment meets the State's standards.

I think the -- further from that, the rest of that falls upon us as commissioners. I have serious concern that we would -- I mean, I'd hate to get up at 4:00 in the morning to come up here and say I vote to approve what these folks

have already done, which I think is my job, and I won't do that.

I just -- maybe I'm just in the back woods here, but I got this when I walked in here today. It's the first I've seen it. I did get a copy of the statute and the contract that -- when I found out about it, I didn't know what it was for.

I wasn't aware of the statute that was enacted or who authorized it, but I don't see why the Election Division is out of the loop and I don't intend to see as a commissioner that we're out of the loop, but I'm only one vote.

It seems to me like you're making the report for him -- I know that's probably to expedite us through that, but the net result is it seems like they get the application, they do all the communications, they do all the approvals, and we come down here and it's a rubber stamp, and that's a waste of my time. You don't need me or this entire commission to do that.

When the legislature sends that duty over to Ball State or the Secretary of State, then fine. I don't see it as -- our folks, I don't

I

see anything, that our people have been involved 1 in any of these applications that I could ask 2 and turn to them and say what have you found, 3 what review have you had of this application? 4 sort of like the idea that the Division reports 5

That's all I've got.

If you could, CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: continue through the process -- as I understand it right now, I just want to talk about the process, not the reports.

MR. R. SCHEELE: Sure, okay. Let me add one thing, the application, of course, were filed in the Division. We took copies of those, the Division still has the original applications, and we copied all of the CDs and all the hard paper copies and we took those to our voting center and that's the, quote, record that we're working with. So the originals are still here where they belong. We then created in cooperation with the staff the checklists --

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: The Division staff?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct. Pam and Brad both reviewed the checklists to make sure that we hadn't missed anything and made some

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Did the lawyers review 2 3 it and report on it? 4 MR. R. SCHEELE: Excuse me? 5 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Did the Division lawyers report it -- the review and participate 6 7 in it? 8 MR. R. SCHEELE: We worked some with Dale. VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Let me introduce you 9 10 to Leslie Barnes. She's the Democratic attorney 11 for the Division. Maybe you'd like to meet her 12 process. MR. R. SCHEELE: Yes, we've met. At any 13 14 rate, we have tried to stay in the loop with the 15 staff. If we have fumbled the ball there at 16 all, then we'll certainly do everything we can 17 to correct it. CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: 18 You had the testing 19 on the 15th and the 16th, two of them brought --20 MicroVote and Hart InterCivic came to the demonstrations? 21 22 MR. R. SCHEELE: Right. 23 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: The other two did not 24 do that; correct?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

suggestions which we incorporated.

1

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Now from a process standpoint, either Brad or Pam, are you going to present the application -- what I'd like to do is do them one at a time?

MR. B. KING: Mr. Chairman, I was prepared to present the application by reading it into the record as we have done at previous meetings and then to yield to Ball State with regard to the information they prepared to the report.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: The first one I have on the agenda is MicroVote Infinity Direct Record Electronic Voting System, Hardware: VP-1, Revision C; Firmware 3.10; Software Infinity Management System 3.1.1.0, and this is, as I understand it, to be a recertification application?

MR. B. KING: Yes, Mr. Chairman, that is correct. The MicroVote system with the hardware, firmware, and software versions that you read in the record is a system that was previously certified by the Commission pursuant to state law and that certification expires

October 1, 2009. MicroVote is seeking a recertification of its existing direct record electronic voting system.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Then as I understand 1 it, we have a report from Ball State on 2 MicroVote application; is that correct? 3 MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct. MR. B. KING: Correct. 5 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Am I in the wrong 6 7 book? CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: You're in the wrong 8 book. 9 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I've got to get to 10 another book. 11 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Page 2. 12 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Is this the Infinity, 13 the first one here? 14 MR. R. SCHEELE: Yes. On the agenda here 15 they are in the order of the applications, so 16 this was the first application received by 17 MicroVote for renewal of their previously 18 improved system, the Infinity VP-1. 19 We met with representatives from MicroVote 20 on September 15th to review the independent lab 21 reports as they pertain to this application, and 22 on that same date our team contacted hands-on 23 testing with the MicroVote Infinity DRE. 24

25

The application form specifies the voting

system to be considered for recertification, and it's the MicroVote Infinity VP-1 Revision C
Firmware 3.10, and the Software Infinity
Management System 3.3.3.0.

1.0

2.3

No independent laboratory results were made available for this voting system with these particular components. An independent laboratory report pertaining to the Infinity 3.07 voting system was made available to us.

Our team was informed that the only difference between these two versions was the correction of software pertaining to the straight party ballot option specified in the Indiana Code.

Copies of letters were presented to Cyber labs, to the IEC attesting that changes in the original voting system had been tested and found to comply with the 2002 federal standards.

However, the actual test results and the lab report were not made available. The disability features were also tested and found to comply with all applicable standards, statutory provisions and standards.

Because the lab reports made available to us pertained to system 3.07, instead of system

3.10, which is the system under consideration
for recertification, it's not possible at this
time to find that the 3.10 system meets all

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So they came in, they demonstrated, and the basic problem with them is they didn't provide Cyber certification on 3.10?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

statutory requirements.

1.1

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And what they told you at least was basically it was just a couple of software changes?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct. They -- they explained to us in some detail that Cyber was discredited as a federal testing lab, and we saw a letter from a person that had moved from Cyber to another testing lab that said yes, we tested it, but there was nothing in that letter that said -- that he could testify to as having met the standards. So really, it's a documentation problem here.

They said they would be working to try to get that Cyber report to the Commission and to the Division, but until we receive it and are able to assess it and evaluate it, we cannot say that it meets the statutory standard.

1.0

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And Brad or Pam, someone correct me if I'm wrong, didn't this happen during the last recertification of MicroVote and they promised us the same documentation and we still haven't got it?

MR. B. KING: Mr. Chairman, my recollection is that the Commission in the past has approved an application for certification without a full report being present on the condition that it be furnished by the vendor, and in this case, I don't believe you've been furnished with the report for 3.10 by Cyber.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: It seems to me they decided to come forward with their application before they were ready. I think the way we get around this is we don't have to cause everybody to have a lot to do, we just deny it, would be my opinion, and them let file another application and pay the filing fee and then maybe they'll be more prepared the next time, and that would be a message to them in the future.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: I have just a couple of questions to kind of walk through this basically. When we talk about the Infinity VP-1

DRE, what is that machine like, tell me what you're talking about here?

MR. R. SCHEELE: The DRE machines are the touch screen, the direct recording equipment, and Jay Bagga, my co-director, can explain a lot more of the technical side of the voting equipment. So Jay, do you want to explain a little more?

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: I understand -- I understand that. I just wanted everybody who was here on the record to know what we're talking about.

MR. R. SCHEELE: Oh, sure.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: So then my -- my next question is: The correction of software pertaining to the straight party ballot option, do you know what the problem was that needed to be corrected?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Yes. As I understand it -- of course, as you know, the Indiana statutes provide that you can vote straight ticket Republican, Democrat, Libertarian or whatever party happens to be on the ticket, and then that casts the ballot for every one of those party members on down the ballot.

There's only five states with that option, called a straight ballot, a straight ticket ballot option, and so a lot of the vendors didn't program their machines for that because most of the states don't have that option, and so MicroVote was one of those, as they explained to us.

Then when they saw the Indiana statute requiring that option, they had do go back and try to correct it, okay, in their software.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Pardon me, do we have any reason to believe that the state party, or the straight party ticket option was not provided by MicroVote in the past?

MR. R. SCHEELE: No, they said that it was corrected; is that correct -- it was corrected, but that is part of the problem, is that they -- the old Cyber or the old 3.07 --

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Can I interrupt just a minute?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: This is the core of the administration litigation, as you know.

They went in last time, didn't ask for any permission, simply made the changes to allow the

straight ticket balloting, and of course, did not get the various approvals they needed to get and just did it, which is the core of the Secretary of State and actually this Commission's involvement.

And the disturbing part now, that I find about this is two years later after all that litigation and everything else, the Commission has sanctioned MicroVote, they still can't us a report and that's -- sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Thank you for your responses. My only point is this is a pretty big deal. I mean, it's compliance with Indiana law to give voters the right to vote a straight party ticket and they need to get it straight so I am not going to approve this application.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I think we need public comment.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: We do. Is -- are you done?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Is there anybody who would like to comment on the MicroVote

application? 1 (No response.) 2 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You mean they're not 3 here. 4 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Apparently, 5 MicroVote -- there's nobody from MicroVote here 6 at all? 7 (No response.) 8 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I move we disallow the 9 application for renewal. 10 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Second it. 11 The motion's been CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: 12 made and seconded to deny the application of 13 MicroVote's renewal of previously approved 14 system, the Infinity VP-1. Any further 15 discussion? 16 (No response.) 17 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Hearing none, all in 18 favor, signify by saying aye? 19 THE COMMISSIONERS: Aye. 20 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Those opposed, same 21 sign? 22 (No response.) 23 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Motion carries. Let 24 me note just for the record that the denial of

the renewal does not preclude MicroVote, the counties from using the MicroVote machines -- as an example, I believe Lake County is using the MicroVote machines.

MS. P. POTESTA: Lake County is using...

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: From using the 3.07 system, just not the software upgrade, the 3.10, which as you have indicated, was a fairly minor, dealing with a straight party ballot; correct?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And as I understand it, to the extent Lake County may be using it in November, I believe the only thing on the ballot for Lake County, if things continue, is the region transportation district, although apparently, they don't have the money to run that anyway.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: They're not going to have the -- that's what I understood them to say.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: They can use these machines, not just the upgrade, so we're not precluding Lake County from running the election as they had planned, if the commissioners find some money for them to do that. All right, that

is the MicroVote application. Next on my agenda is the Hart InterCivic DRE system optical scan ballot card voting system. Who is doing that one?

MR. B. KING: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to do it. Hart InterCivic is an application for renewal for a combination of different types of voting systems. As you note, there is the direct record electronic, which is the touch screen, the optical scan ballot card voting system, and an optical scan central count unit, meaning where the optical scan ballots are tabulated upon location, typically, in the county courthouse.

There are various hardware, firmware and software that are listed on the agenda. This system was previously approved by the Commission for certification. It expires on October 1, 2009.

MR. R. SCHEELE: As the document is clear, we reviewed the documents provided by the vendor, Hart InterCivic, and we evaluated the results of the self-tests and the voting system demonstrations at the voting center at Ball State.

We found after a thorough review of all of the federal lab reports, as well as the demonstration and the checklists of the Indiana statutory requirements, that the voting system referenced here meets the requirements for use in the State of Indiana.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: But MicroVote and Hart came in and did their testing?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Comments from the commissioners, questions?

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Have you, Leslie, reviewed their application from a legal significance?

MS. L. BARNES: No, I have not seen or reviewed the application, and -- and one point, not only for MicroVote but Hart InterCivic, the renewals are required by state law. Before the commission can grant a renewal, the vendor, Ball State, must indicate that the hardware, firmware and software are all identical as to what was previously approved by the Commission, otherwise, the -- there's a full-blown investigation and certification that would need to take place.

And so I have not reviewed the application 1 for legal compliance with state statute and I 2 3 also would encourage the Commission to ask the vendor if the vendor can verify that the previously certified system is exactly identical 5 to the system that Hart InterCivic is asking to 6 7 be renewed and certified. CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And when you're using 8 9 those terms... 10 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: By vendor, that 1.1 means... 12 MS. L. BARNES: Oh, I'm sorry, Ball State. VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I don't think we 13 14 should call Ball State the vendor. 15 MS. L. BARNES: No, sorry. 16 MR. R. SCHEELE: At least... 17 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: The record would be in 18 a terrible state. 19 MS. L. BARNES: When I said that... 20 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Can you certify that 21 to us? 22 MR. R. SCHEELE: Yes. I quickly explained 23 the process by which we did that. When they 24 would set up their voting systems for our

demonstration, okay, we had the federal lab

reports, okay, that came -- that they had 1 supplied to the Commission, we had copied, and 2 part of the diagnostic tests is that we would 3 certify on that machine that we were looking at the exact same machine that had been previously 5 certified, okay, down to even if there were --6 if we had any records of even serial numbers on them, which we usually didn't, but we had the 8 model number, and we were very confident that 9 10 the machines we were looking at and going to test were the exact same machines. 11

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: How would we verify that, Leslie, if Ball State wasn't in the loop?

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. L. BARNES: From my understanding, the vendor would submit photographs, diagrams, the software program, and I guess we would compare it to their earlier certification from four years ago. I was not involved four years ago nor have I seen the application or the documentation that the vendor has submitted currently.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: And again -
COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Would you repeat
that again, I'm sorry?

MS. L. BARNES: The state law requires a

voting system vendor to supply diagrams,

photographs, an explanation of how the system

works, and my understanding would be we would

compare that the current application contains

the same documentation as their prior

application and documentation did.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And as I understand what you said, part of that, when you were first answering the first part of Tony's question, is the reason you haven't gone back and reviewed the initial application is because there's no reason to review the initial application if there's a certification that it's the same equipment being recertified, correct?

MS. L. BARNES: I think there would be a need to review the actual application and the supporting documentation to make sure that the two are identical, and that is not what -- I have not had the opportunity or been asked to review the current application to see whether it complies with State standards for certification.

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Mr. King, was that information available to the Democrat commissioners and their staff?

MR. B. KING: Mr. Commissioner, the

original applications with the agreement of Democratic co-director were provided to Ball State, an opportunity was given and has been given for anyone to review them.

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: I have a question, just again for clarity sake, how many -- do we know how many counties are serviced by Hart InterCivic?

MR. R. SCHEELE: One.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Just one.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Which county?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Cass.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: And what we're talking about here is the whole package, the machine itself, the software, and Hart InterCivic puts together the whole bundle and that's what that county uses?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And as I understand it, Cass is one of the former VTI counties that switched to Hart InterCivic. Any other questions from the commissioners?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All right. Is there
someone from Hart InterCivic or anybody who
wants to make public comment on the Hart
InterCivic recertification application?

(No response.)

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Hearing none, do I have a motion?

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: I make a motion to approve the Hart InterCivic application for voting system certification, Model No. 6.2.1.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Do I have a second -the Chair will second a motion for the purposes
of placing it on the table. Any discussion -the motion's been made and seconded, any
discussion?

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: My discussion is I'm not comfortable with the procedural system put in place by Ball State by circumventing, not utilizing, or whatever the right word is, the Democrat side of the equation and setting new procedures.

This is a bipartisan Commission, and I don't think it's been by standard or bipartisanship. Unless -- until I'm comfortable that the procedures that are utilized meets the

mustard of both sides of the equation, I'm not inclined to vote for a recommendation of Ball State.

That doesn't mean I'm precluding voting for it at a later date, but given the past here today, I would probably move to table it until that issue can be resolved, and they don't have to review anything, hopefully.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: My point for discussion is I don't have any reason to doubt the quality and the depth of the work done by Ball State -- I don't doubt that at all. I think it's a good idea. I've always worried if I had to be the person to go over all these certifications as a member of the staff, I wouldn't do a very good job because I don't know all those things, but the idea of delegating to people who are experts, I like that.

I have to agree with Commissioner Long that we were kind of in the dark about that, and as long as we can be assured that all aspects of this certification process are touched by both parties, I'm okay with it, and I would vote in favor of it, but I'm not going to vote in favor of this application for those reasons because I

don't know that both parties have had an opportunity to sign off on all of these critically important things.

2.0

And I've said this before about voting system certification -- I mean, we're talking about stuff that happens inside a machine that is basically magic for those of us who are not so schooled, but it is the most important thing that it's done correctly, because the right to vote means everything, and we have to be sure that it's done perfectly, and we have to rely on people like you to do that, but I want to make sure that as a Democrat and as a representative of my party and my voters that everybody, both sides get a chance to be in on that approval process.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I don't -- I'm excited by Ball State being in here, too. I've known Ray Scheele for many many years, have great respect for him and consider him a friend, but at the same token, I think that's just where we are. I mean, I've been beating on this drum that we needed professional help on our side and I'm glad -- I'm really glad it's here, but I think we're almost to the point of being perfect

in my mind but not quite.

2.0

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Far from being perfect, I mean, the -- I share the two commissioners' desire for bipartisanship. It was my understanding and has been my understanding that the Democratic side of the Division has been fully involved in the process. Perhaps I'm mistaken.

I'm going -- I'm going to turn to Brad, the Republican co-director to clarify that, if there's been a point in the process where the Democratic section of the Division has not been in the process, I think that's an area of concern, but I don't understand that to be the case.

MR. B. KING: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, the contractual duty that Ball State has with regard to voting systems' certification is to accomplish this task, that is to provide the Commission with technical advice.

From the beginning of the process, when

Ball State began this work, my counterpart, Pam

Potesta, who serves as the Democratic

co-director has been notified by e-mail. In

cases where she's not been copied on e-mail, I

made a point of forwarding that to her so that she could involved.

I as a Republican co-director made a decision to delegate certain tasks to my co-general counsel, Dale Simmons, but I've not viewed his particular involvement as necessary for me to be able to endorse the recommendations that Ball State may have.

## MS. P. POTESTA: Commissioner?

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Oh, please, I'm sorry. It's up to you how you want to speak. I don't think you have to turn them on and off.

MS. P. POTESTA: I would just like to say that I agree with Brad, that I have been a part of every decision on down the line. We've met with Ray several times. I thought, as my position as co-director, in deciding to take on Ball State, I felt that was a wonderful decision.

I've admired the work that they have done. It's very technical, and as Sarah had mentioned, that is something that us as laypeople, if you want to call it that, would have difficulty testing to the degree that you did, and I thought the natural process then was for you to

submit your report, explain your testing, and present it to the commissioners for approval or disapproval, so if I've not done my job, then I've just now found that out.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I guess what I heard is I think -- I continue to believe, and as long as I'm Chair of this Commission, bipartisanship is -- one is it's necessary to operate because there's two on each side and so nothing's going to pass if we vote on party lines, No. 1.

No. 2, I think it's important, despite the partisan nature of what we all do in the real word within this Commission, we've been fairly bipartisan, and Tony and Sarah, if you want to — if you want to take more time on these to make sure that the process — you guys are comfortable with the process, I'm comfortable with that, because I want to make sure that that process works, that Pam's in the loop. I thought Pam was in the loop, and I think I heard from Pam that she's been in the loop?

## MS. P. POTESTA: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So certainly, there's not been a deliberate attempt to not be in the loop. I'm certainly comfortable -- I don't

believe that Cass County has anything on anyway for this election cycle. I don't believe they have even a referendum or anything like that.

 $\cdot 1.0$ 

1.3

So perhaps to table -- I certainly -- well, it's not my motion, I'm sorry, but I believe Commissioner Dumezich will accept a friendly motion, a friendly amendment to table Hart InterCivic pending resolution of these issues so we can make sure that all the commissioners are comfortable with the process or something that's being reported to us -- certainly, me.

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Agreed.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Yes, I would agree with that. We're going to convene again. I don't -- Ray, you and your staff, I'm not challenging or questioning the integrity of what you're doing.

MR. R. SCHEELE: I understand.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I just -- I want to be a little more comfortable from our side of the equation because I have -- enough said.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I will take

Commissioner Long's comments as a friendly

motion to amend the prior motion, to make -
instead of approving the motion, to approve the

motion to table the Hart InterCivic application until the Commission's next meeting. 2 3 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: That's correct. 4 agree that's what I would like to see happen. CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And I think with the 5 request that the co-directors and co-counsel get 6 7 together and make sure everybody's in the loop and there's full cooperation back and forth. 8 9 You guys don't have to agree on anything, but at 1.0 least everybody's clear on the process, at least 11 from my standpoint; fair direction? 12 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Fair. 13 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All right. Motion --14 will you withdraw your prior motion? 15 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: I will. 16 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And will you make a 17 motion to table Hart InterCivic. VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Didn't you do that 18 19 already? 20 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I was trying to 21 figure that out procedurally. I thought... 22 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Yeah, you 23 already did. 24 MS. P. POTESTA: Two times.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: But procedurally, the

2 is on the table to table the Hart InterCivic 3 applications until the Commission's next meeting; do I have a second on that motion? 4 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Who made the 5 motion? 6 7 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You did. 8 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: I did. 9 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I second it. COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: I guess I've got 10 11 to vote for it now. CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All those in favor, 12 13 signify by saying aye? 14 THE COMMISSIONERS: Aye. 15 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Those opposed, same 16 sign? 1.7 (No response.) VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Mr. Chairman, thank 18 19 you for your leadership. 20 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: The Hart InterCivic 21 motion has been tabled. Next on there is the 22 Election Systems & Software Unity 3.0.1.0 as 23 amended. 24 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I don't think there's 25 anything before us. Until they make a

motion's been withdrawn to approve, a new motion

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: That's what I 3 was thinking. 4 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: That's sort of what I 5 feel -- I mean, maybe the... 6 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And the point is you 7 can't make a recommendation; correct? 8 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: They're just reporting 9 that they've got an application and they're 10 still in the process on it. 11 MR. R. SCHEELE: And they could not meet 12 the September meeting times, so consequently, 1.3 they're tentatively scheduled for October, but 14 that's not been totally confirmed. Part of the 15 complication is merging with ES&S. 16 COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: I have a 17 question: How many counties use this system? 18 MR. R. SCHEELE: You know, I can't answer 19 that precisely right now. We have a list. 20 COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Any big ones who 21 may have a referendum in November? 22 MR. R. SCHEELE: Yes. 23 COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: And you use ES&S, 24 and you have a referendum in November? 25

recommendation, I don't think there's anything

1

2

before us.

1 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Which county? 2 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We're not using them 3 during the special election. 4 COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: I'm sorry? UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We are not using the 5 6 system. 7 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Have her state 8 her name for the record. CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Let's hold that for 9 10 just a second, in terms of -- because I want 11 public comment, and I do want to hear that, but 12 I want you up at the mike so we can -- I do want to be on the record with some of this stuff, but 13 14 I guess the answer to your question is yes. 15 there anybody from ES&S here? 16 (No response.) 17 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Okay. Let's do this 18 process. Right now, as I understand it, because 19 they haven't -- you're not in a position to give 20 us any kind of report on any of the ES&S 21 applications; correct? 22 MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct. 23 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And the same for 24 Premier?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And as I understand it from the appendices, you've indicated to us that ES&S doesn't have any relationship with Premier; correct?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So Premier is really ES&S at this point?

MR. R. SCHEELE: That's being worked on as we speak, and Brad and I as recently as yesterday exchanged some e-mails because they were e-mailing him and I think we're getting it ironed out as to which company and which representatives we actually communicate with this.

But late yesterday afternoon, I had permission from Premier to share some information with ES&S, for example, and we did not want to do that until we had authorization from them.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So for our purposes, they're still separate?

MR. R. SCHEELE: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So let's do the two ES&S applications. With respect to ES&S, my understanding is based upon the information you

provided us, they have not come in for testing 1 2 and you're not in a position to give us a report at this point in time? 3 MR. R. SCHEELE: That's correct. 4 5 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And for our 6 purposes -- so that means they haven't 7 demonstrated anything to you as a sample? MR. R. SCHEELE: That's correct. 8 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: They haven't come in 9 10 and demonstrated to us. Anything further from Ball State on the two ES&S applications? 11 12 MR. R. SCHEELE: No. 13 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Ouestions from the 14 commissioners on Ball State? 15 COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Are there 16 any -- no. VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You've done nothing 17 18 other than just receive material that they filed . 19 with the Division? 20 MR. R. SCHEELE: Right. 21 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: And you've not --22 you've scheduled something for them in the 23 future, it's not that they came in and were 24 short and were going to come back with more?

MR. R. SCHEELE:

No.

2	initial stage of review?
3	MR. R. SCHEELE: Yes. We have no
4	assessment whatsoever and we have received
5	material from the Commission.
6	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Now as I understand
7	it, from your appendices, you provided early
8	notice that this is the process you told them
9	October 1st was the deadline for
10	recertification, and basically, ES&S said sorry,
11	we can't get around to you guys?
12	MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct, their schedules
13	didn't accommodate our September
14	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: It looks like their
15	schedule didn't accommodate our statutory
16	deadline.
17	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I mean, they may
18	not come October, if we've not had a meeting
19	to approve them, they're uncertified?
20	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: That's correct.
21	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: So that's their
22	problem.
23	COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Mr. Chairman, I'm
24	interested in finding out how significant a
25	problem it poses for counties that have

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You're still in the

referendum?

chairman T. Wheeler: That's the next step in the process. I want to -- but right now, I want to make it clear that ES&S had full notification, they had the opportunity to come in, you have a complete trail, as I understand it, of your communications?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Some of that is in the appendices that we've been given?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Is there a date set at this point?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Only tentative, between the 7th and 9th of October, but that was by, I think, from ES&S, and we haven't had confirmation.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Nothing from Premier at all?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Premier, we've been in touch with, but they haven't confirmed the actual dates on their calendar, okay.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You raised a point, a really good one, the trail of communication, once it comes to a point, do you transfer that

1.7

	then, copies of all that to the prvision for
2	their file?
3	MR. R. SCHEELE: Sure, you bet. In fact,
4	we might have copies as early this week right
5	here with us.
6	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Do you want to hear
8 .	from the counties or do you want to hear from
9	ES&S?
10	COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: I do. I'd like
11	to hear from the counties.
12	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All right. There are
13	counties here that want to speak on the ES&S
14	applications.
15	COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Can we hear from
16	ES&S first?
17	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All right, ES&S.
18	MR. I. PIPER: I'm actually from
19	formerly from Premier so I'm familiar with the
20	ES&S applications.
21	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So you're here to
22	speak for Premier?
23	MR. I. PIPER: Because you're dealing with
24	them separately, yes.
25	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: You're not in a

1	position to speak on ES&S's application;
2	correct?
3	MR. I. PIPER: I work for them now but
4	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: But you can't
5	speak so you'll have the opportunity to take
6	this message back to ES&S with regard to this?
7	MR. I. PIPER: Pardon me?
8	COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: You can tell
9	them what we said?
10	MR. I. PIPER: Correct.
11	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All right. There's
12	nobody here to speak on ES&S's behalf on the
13	applications; correct anybody else?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All right. Are there
16	any other public comments on the ES&S
17	application come on up, state your name, and
18	whatever capacity you're in?
19	MS. P. MISHLER FISH: Good morning. I'm
20	Pam Mishler Fish, Porter County Clerk.
21	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Can you spell your
22	name?
23	MS. P. MISHLER FISH: M-I-S-H-L-E-R, space,
24	Fish, F-I-S-H.
2.5	COMMISSIONER D DIMEZICH: Which county?

MS. P. MISHLER FISH: Porter County Clerk. 1 2 We have a referendum election November 3rd, we 3 have chosen to use paper ballots and count them, as opposed to using our M-100 (Phonetic) optical 5 scan. 6 However, for our handicapped individuals,

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have an AutoMARK machine, which is a machine from ES&S, and we are currently having those machines programmed, and we need to make sure that those machines will be approved for the November 3rd election. That's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Have you had any communication with ES&S about the approval process, the Ball State process?

MS. P. MISHLER FISH: No, I have not. CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: You understand our concerns; right?

MS. P. MISHLER FISH: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Here's the concern that I have as a commissioner, the individual counties have the power to contract with various vendors?

> MS. P. MISHLER FISH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: There appear to be less vendors as we move along every day. But at this point, the only power we have is for them to comply statutorily with the requirements.

1.0

For whatever reason, ES&S seems to have chosen not to -- not only not to comply, but not really -- certainly, not to work with Ball State, from what I've heard from Ball State, and not to comply with our deadlines.

What I'm struggling with as a commissioner is how do I deal with this, and I will say that is a repetitive problem with all of these vendors.

MicroVote, we gave them a conditional approval two years ago, and they still have never filed a piece of paper from Cyber, despite the fact that there's six different labs that can approve them.

ES&S has apparently made a determination for whatever reason that they're not interested in coming in and going through our approval process based on our statutory time frame.

And I understand that presents an individual problem with counties that contract with these guys, but from our standpoint, how do we exercise our responsibilities?

MS. P. MISHLER FISH: I understand. Our concern is we want to make sure that voters in our county who are disabled and cannot strike a paper ballot, that their rights are not infringed upon, and I guess we are a little bit between a rock and a hard place on this.

I don't know if there are other options besides using those special machines at this point. I guess we have to talk to the people that represent the disabled individuals. Maybe there is another option. Maybe we don't have to use those AutoMARK machines. I don't know what that option would be.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Have you spoken with your county attorney about enforcing that obligation?

MS. P. MISHLER FISH: This just came up. We just became aware of this.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: There may some things, if you act quickly, that you might be able to do to accommodate them, and see if your liability -- it depends on where ES&S is at. I would certainly recommend doing that.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Mr. Chairman, I understand from Leslie Barnes that the counties

can still use the system? 1 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Absolutely. 2 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Yeah. 3 COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: The issue is that 4 the vendor just can't sell it? 5 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Not just sell it --6 7 if this is an upgrade; correct? MR. B. KING: Mr. Chairman, Members of the 8 Commission, the grandfather clause only permits 9 the use of the currently certified version of 10 the system. No upgrade from them. 11 12 MS. P. MISHLER FISH: Okay. VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Is that what you have 13 currently, you don't have upgrades? 14 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Well, they're -- you 1.5 said it was being reconfigured right now? 16 MS. P. MISHLER FISH: Not -- well, I 17 shouldn't say that right now. They have to 18 19 program it for each election. 20 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: There's -- there's two way they program it. It's improper -- this 21 is what MicroVote got in trouble with, they can 22 23 set the ballot for you. MS. P. MISHLER FISH: That's what I'm 24

talking about. That's what I mean.

2.3

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: As long as they're not setting the ballot and installing new software. What happened is MicroVote came in, and it's a matter of public record at this point because they lost the appeal, MicroVote not only set the ballot, but they installed new software to correct the problem.

And so this is -- I know you're not here on -- you're here on Premier versus ES&S, but if ES&S sets that, okay, and it is not certified, you're going to be in the same boat that MicroVote was with sanctions and bans and everything else.

If they simply, and this is what you need to talk with them about, is my understanding, if they are simply setting the ballot on your old certified, you're fine.

## MS. P. MISHLER FISH: Okay.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: If they upgrade in any fashion without certification, then you've got a problem.

MS. P. MISHLER FISH: They are not.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I just -- make sure they don't.

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Yeah.

2	MicroVote did did not know they had upgraded.
3	MS. P. MISHLER FISH: I will make sure they
4	don't.
5	COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: You know, have
6	them state it to protect you. Also, for the
7	record, in the MicroVote situation, when they
8	changed that programming, it created a problem
9	in the split precincts.
10	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: It did
11	COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: It's just not
12	that we were looking at it, technically, that
13	they failed to conform with the statute, they
14	provided a system that had been flawed.
15	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Because it hadn't
16	been tested.
17	MS. P. MISHLER FISH: Okay. So as it
18	stands right now, we are okay to use both
19	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: It sounds like it.
20	MS. P. MISHLER FISH: machines, provided
21	no upgrade, just
22	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I've heard from both
23	legal counsel that you can use your currently
2 4	existing equipment, previously certified
25	equipment, that's correct?

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: The counties that

1	COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: With no
2	reprogramming.
3	MS. L. BARNES: Correct, the grandfather
4	provision permits them to use the currently
5	certified system.
6	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You get to go home and
7	be a hero today because you came down here and
8	solved that problem.
9	COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: It might be
10	helpful to us if you in your when you write
11	to them to, ask them to confirm this information
12	and you copied, you know, maybe the legal staff
13	on both sides.
14	MS. P. MISHLER FISH: Yes, I will do that.
15	Thank you.
16	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: That we were
17	documented on it.
18	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All right. Any other
19	counties want to comment on ES&S or any other
20	interested parties that want to make a comment?
21	MS. R. GLENN: This doesn't have anything
22	to do with certification.
23	CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Hold on. Not yet.
24	I'll tell you when it's time for that.
25	MS. A. NUSSMEYER: Good morning, Mr.

Chairman, Members of the Commission, Members of 1 2 the Election Division, my name is Angie 3 Nussmeyer, and that's "N" like Nancy, U-S-S-M-E-Y-E-R, and I'm with the Marion County 4 5 Election Board, and earlier, Commissioner Riordan had asked if anyone holding a referendum 6 election this November was present, and Marion 7 County is running a county-wide referendum this 8 November, November 3rd, as well as three smaller 9 school district referenda, and we currently use 10 ES&S hardware and software in their current 11 certified condition in the State of Indiana and 12 I just wanted to submit that for the record 13 since the Commission had asked. 14 15 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Which county are you

from again?

MS. A. NUSSMEYER: Marion County.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Marion.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: I think you're still using ES&S right now; is that right?

We're using --MS. A. NUSSMEYER: We are. You switched -- you CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: switched then; is that right?

MS. A. NUSSMEYER: We switched for our -for the service side. So RBM Consulting is

3 ES&S equipment? MS. A. NUSSMEYER: 4 Right. Right. 5 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So it still has the be the certified equipment from ES&S. 6 7 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: No upgrades? 8 MS. A. NUSSMEYER: No upgrades, right. CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So you would follow 9 the -- you would follow the same... 10 11 MS. A. NUSSMEYER: Yes, absolutely. 12 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Please copy us 13 the recommendation of that fact. 14 MS. A. NUSSMEYER: Okay. VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Let us know if there's 15 16 problem. 17 MS. A. NUSSMEYER: Will do. Thank you. 18 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Tell the clerk I said 19 hi. 20 I will do that. MS. A. NUSSMEYER: 21 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: You can tell her I 22 said hi. I know she probably -- anybody else 23 from the county standpoint on the ES&S recertification? You don't want to talk? 24 25 Didn't you say you had a referendum on an ES&S

servicing our equipment, but we still use ES&S.

They're servicing

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER:

1

county or you...

-

MS. R. GLENN: My name is Rita Glenn and I'm a clerk from St. Joseph County, and yes, we do, but we're not using...

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So what are you using, just out of curiosity; are you doing papers or...

MS. R. GLENN: Paper ballot, we're just going to count it by hand.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: You're just going to count them by hand?

MS. R. GLENN: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: That will be relevant to Premier here in just a second. If St. Joe County can count it by hand, I'm impressed.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Well, that was a slam.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: No, I didn't mean

it -- that was not a slam. What I meant was
just the volume of ballots compared to some of
the other smaller counties.

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Make your comment to him. I'm not going to say what I was thinking.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: That was actually a compliment to St. Joe County.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You all consider yourselves duly complimented.

MS. R. GLENN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All of us have done elections and counted a lot of ballots. For you guys, a county that size to go to hand ballots is significant. All right, I will accept a motion.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I mean, without us adding other things, we wouldn't even be here. They're just telling us what they're doing. I think that's the report they're giving us.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All right. So that's just -- I will take that as a status report on those two applications, so they're pending?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Right.

chairman T. wheeler: Okay. And then we've
got -- we've got the two Premier applications?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Which I guess we'll treat as Premier applications right now -- Brad?

MR. B. KING: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, this is Roman Numeral V, Premier Election Solutions, the direct record electronic voting system, which is currently certified in

Indiana. Premier's applying for recertification of that system by the October 1st, 2009, deadline.

This application has the feature, and I believe, the Commission discussed this in its March 16th, 2009, concerning the updated SSL certificates, which Premier submitted as part of its application for recertification of its voting system. Let me quickly address Roman Numeral VI?

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Okay.

MR. B. KING: It's the new voting system from Premier. It's called the Assure 1.2. It's a combination of optical scan, direct record electronic systems that are listed on the agenda. Again, that would be a system recertification, although it also includes the AutoMARK function that was discussed earlier.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Okay. At this point I'm going to take just a quick five-minute break and you're on for discussing Premier.

MR. R. SCHEELE: Great.

(A recess was taken.)

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All right. I'm going to go ahead and put us back on the record.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Mr. Chairman, could we 1 readdress the previous applications, we didn't 2 take any action on them yet? 3 Those would be the CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: 4 two ES&S applications? 5 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Yes. I have a 6 question: When were their applications filed? 7 MR. R. SCHEELE: Which one? 8 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: The two ES&S 9 applications. 10 It says May 7th? VICE CHAIR A. LONG: 11 That is when it MR. R. SCHEELE: Right. 12 was stamped here at the Election Division. 13 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So I've got one May 14 7th and one August 7th, according to your 15 16 report? MR. R. SCHEELE: Right. 17 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I was looking at the 18 two reports. 19 The reason I asked, VICE CHAIR A. LONG: 20 the filing date is No. 1, to get it in the 21 record, and to verify that these were accurate. 22 And do I understand you all set up a review 23 session to go through these with them and their 24 response was it didn't meet their schedule? 25

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct, that they could not get all of their equipment to campus during that week or prior to this meeting, actually, and we said well, we'll accommodate you after September 18th, and that's why it's now tentatively in October, but the other two were able to...

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Right, the other two were able to get their stuff in?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Yeah, they've been tested.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: The other two -MicroVote was June 8th and Hart InterCivic was
July 13th, and they were able to get their stuff
in?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Right.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: My feeling is the Commission has experienced over the years, in my tenure, vendors who would file applications and they were paying and they were paying and they were paying, to the point almost that -- and have on occasion that they've gone through revisions of the application because it had software improvements in the interim, and I've always operated under the philosophy that file your application when you're ready, let's get it

in and get it passed and worked on and
certified. I'd be inclined -- I'm not going
to -- well, I'll make a motion on the floor that
we deny both of these subject to the right to
refile if they so choose when they get ready.

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Second it.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Motion's made and seconded, any further discussion?

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: I just want to ask one question. We are actually here on approval or denial of the applications; correct?

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: As I understand it, that is correct.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: And that's before us and all of the vendors and the parties have had proper notice that these issues were going to be on the table today; in other words, they won't simply -- they're not mistakenly believing that we are simply receiving a report today, this action is cued up and -- or this question is cued up and ready for a thumbs up or thumbs down?

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: They received full notice; correct?

MR. B. KING: Mr. Chairman and Members of

the Commission, they received full notice as to 1 the agenda, not necessarily a discussion on what action the Commission might take. 3 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And as I understand, the memorandum also went out to all of the 5 6 county clerks advising them of the same, which 7 is why, I assume, some of them are here to 8 comment? 9 MR. B. KING: That is correct. CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Motion's been made 10 11 and seconded, any further comment? 12 (No response.) CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All in favor, signify 13 14 by saying aye? THE COMMISSIONERS: 15 Aye. 16 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Those opposed, same 17 sign? 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Motion carries. 20 applications dated May 7th and August 12th are 21 denied. Premier application dated August 21st, 22 and the second one doesn't have a date, 23 actually. 24 MR. R. SCHEELE: Actually we can add a date

25

on that.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: We can add a date.

MR. R. SCHEELE: That was submitted on August 26th, but we have not been available to put it on our checklist yet. The first communication we had on that application was then on September 3rd via e-mail.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All right. So the two applications -- as I understand it, the two Premier systems are in the same situation as the ES&S systems, which is to say they have not -- they have not come in for testing?

MR. R. SCHEELE: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And your report here, and I'm pushing here a little bit because I'm trying to make some time.

MR. R. SCHEELE: I understand that.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: My commissioner over here is going to accuse me of leading the witness, but with respect to that -- he did that very politely earlier.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: For the right reason.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: But as I understand

it, your report on the August 21st application basically is kind of a reiteration of why the report on the SSL; correct?

MR. R. SCHEELE:

Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

2 0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: But the bottom conclusion is you haven't been able to test the equipment on either one of these two?

That's correct. MR. R. SCHEELE: vendor -- as we understand it, the SSL, which is a communications device -- okay, the certificate is the communication device on this system, they tied on their application this voting system with the SSL certificate, and consequently, we have talked to other labs -- not just Wyle, but other labs that have tested the SSL certificate, and as I indicate here in our report to you, there was no adverse effect on the functioning of the voting systems, but it wasn't necessarily exactly the same system that they are applying for recertification on. So until we can see that operating ourselves, that's -- and we have had the scheduling problems.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And for the commissioners' edification, as I recall, this kind of -- kind of came up before, because we took the position, I think, under Indiana law that this was -- the entire system had to be tested working together; correct?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. B. KING: Yes.

MR. D. SIMMONS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And I think we've consistently taken that position, and this -- and that's why there is -- even though they are doing the SSL, it's our position that we want to make sure that everything works together, and as Commissioner Riordan said before, it's very important to make sure this works, and as I understand it, they have not been able to do that?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So at this point you're not in a position to --

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: -- report on the applications and tell us what happened with ES&S; correct?

MR. R. SCHEELE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Any other questions from the commissioners?

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: After any...

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Okay. I was going to invite -- in that case, I do believe we do have a gentleman who was formerly with ES&S who has

the opportunity to comment here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

. 24

\_ 1

25

MR. I. PIPER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, my name is Ian Piper, I-A-N, P-I-P-E-R. I was a compliance officer formerly with Premier Election Solutions and now I'm In regards to the SSL employed with ES&S. certificate, it's something that we had notified the State about after July of last year and we had submitted an application for this back in March of this year and what we're looking to do here is to be able to put this digital certificate, which is just a key that has expired as of January of this year, and it's something that needs to be in the unit in order for it to be able to communicate and do uploads and downloads to the database and the memory cards.

So in essence, the old optical scan units didn't use SSL, but the DRE's, the touch screens, which are used for handicap accessible functions, those do use the SSL in connection with the election management system. So without the use of this SSL, it's something where the handicap accessible units will not be able to be used, period.

The SSL certificate is not something that needs to go onto a new system. It can just go onto the very same existing system that the counties are using today so the testing that's been done to date has been done by a variety of labs.

Merle King of Kinnesaw State University had independent tests done by Wyle labs in order to verify the functionality of it and that it had no detrimental effects and he came back with a recommendation from the State of Georgia with regards to them accepting this.

The State of Ohio has worked with us as well, too, and they have accepted the SSL certificate as being diminimous or not necessary for recertification. So there's been -- almost every state that we've basically gone to with the SSL certificate has come back and said this is fine for you to go ahead and implement and use.

So I would ask that when it comes to the, at least the SSL certificate, that that be something that be allowed to be used with the existing systems that are in the state today with no changes, no other changes to them, to

. 

allow them to use their handicap accessible devices with the special elections this November.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: How many counties

use this equipment?

MR. K. CONRAD: We have 15.

MR. I. PIPER: Fifteen.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Any counties that have a referendum in November, which counties?

(Audience members raised hands.)

MR. K. CONRAD: My name is Kyle Conrad.

I'm with Government (Phonetic) Business Systems.

We are the dealer for Premier in the State of

Indiana. I, along with Larry Calvert, appeared

before you in March when we discussed this issue
in detail, and you were very cordial in finding
a way to address this at that time, but

unfortunately, because of the State statute, you
said it had to be on an application before you
can even approve it. You thanked us for coming
to you and bringing it to your attention. We
now have it on application and I understand that
the process has changed since March when we were
here.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: And your understanding of the process changing is now?

MR. K. CONRAD: The review process.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: And is there some reason why that hasn't happened?

MR. K. CONRAD: That -- as far as I know, it's -- it's a coordination scheduling.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: You guys -- you guys were here in March, and I remember when we were here and talked about this, we took the position that, yes, the SSL was marked different from what I heard him say the Ohio standards, but we took a position that our statutes doesn't follow Ohio's.

So from March -- with all due respect, you guys have known about this for a long time. Now I understand there's been ES&S buying Premier or whatever, but the thing that I'm pretty hacked off about it is you guys have known about it, you didn't get in with Ball State and the deadline has passed and you're asking us to approve something that has not been reviewed -- with all due respect, it has not been reviewed in our process.

And you're putting us in a bind with the

counties -- particularly, Franklin and Ripley

Counties from using their handicapped

accessible -- basically, you're saying to us

we're the fall guy, we have to be the bad guy to

tell Franklin and Ripley that none of the

handicap accessible stuff can be used because

you guys couldn't get in.

. 8

2.4

MR. K. CONRAD: In March, when we were here, we had no special elections.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Right.

MR. K. CONRAD: We knew we were going to have applications filed for recertification, and instead of -- and I'm not speaking on behalf of Premier, but I understood it to be the \$1,500 filing fee, let's wrap it all up into one, get it in together, and get it addressed, while we were waiting for certification at the federal level.

And as I understand it, I think that the conflict with Premier has been just several states, that they're in the process of recertification, unlike some of the other vendors, that they're confined to just a handful of states, we're looking at a several more states, and I think it's scheduling issue. I'm

8 9

sitting here. I...

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: With all due respect, what you're telling me is they were too busy to come and talk to us?

MR. K. CONRAD: No, I don't think that at all.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: That's what it sounds like.

MR. K. CONRAD: I mean, we were waiting on August -- our equipment wasn't approved until August, and I'm sure that had a lot to do with it, too, but I'm sitting here worried about these two counties that we have.

When we came to you in March, we addressed this issue, you guys looked through the statutes, you looked for a way that we could address this, and unfortunately, we couldn't address it until it was on application. It's on application. We're trying to get it addressed again.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: What took from March to August to get an application?

MR. I. PIPER: Well, my understanding was the application was made back in March.

MR. K. CONRAD: No, there was no

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: There was no 3 application. MR. I. PIPER: That's why it wasn't approved in March because we didn't have an 5 6 application. 7 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And you're correct, in that you needed to make an application 8 9 because you didn't think the SSL change was... 10 MR. I. PIPER: Right. 11 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: That was then 12 clarified, but for whatever reason -- and that's clarified, and I think this is what Commissioner 13 14 Long is asking, after that's clarified in March, 15 no application was filed until August 21st? MR. K. CONRAD: Right, and it was decided 16 17 at that time to... 18 MR. I. PIPER: With the new federally 19 certified system, right. 20 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Well, I think 21 right now the motion that Tony made and was 22 seconded and voted on; right, that we deny it 2.3 for today and we move forward with the... 24 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: That was with ES&S.

MR. K. CONRAD: And one question I had of

application.

1

25

Mr. Simmons was can the Commission partially approve an application, can you approve just the SSL part of that application, and that's all, as the vendor for these two counties that I am concerned with.

I understand the whole -- their concerns regarding everything else. My concern is -- as you realize, is that I have a special election on November 3rd with these two counties and we are in the same bind as you are.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Why didn't you take the equipment up there and let Ball State look at it -- I mean, you had it scheduled in October, you scheduled it in October with them, they set aside apparently a week or so to run this equipment. If you're so concerned, why didn't you just load it up in your car and take it up there and said here it is.

MR. K. CONRAD: I'm not the manufacturer.

vice chair A. Long: Do you have a
sample -- I mean, can't you get a prototype
or...

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Can you get there in October to do this?

MR. I. PIPER: Yes, I mean, Premier and

ES&S is committed to bringing our systems in for this recertification.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Well, why didn't you do it when they were doing it, if this was so important?

MR. I. PIPER: Sir, I apologize. I'm not aware of the reasons why it was not brought in before that.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: What we did with the first one was deny it, let them start over again, and you know, this idea of -- you know, we finally got a professional team to do this professional work for us, which we struggled for years without it, and now our problem is we can't get the vendors to take their equipment over to see them. You know, I take a hard line on this. It's pure money to you all, and I'm sorry, Sarah, you started to say something?

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: I'm sorry. Is there a path that we can outline that may involve some hustling on your part to get this done in time so the people in those two counties...

MR. K. CONRAD: Unfortunately, from our standpoint this would have to be done before the

1.0

public test and the public test is scheduled the 1 week off or possibly prior to the date that Mr. 2. Scheele is talking about at Ball State. It's 3 not meeting the November 3rd deadline. We have 4 to have it ready for the public test. 5 COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: And what is --6 what determines the date of the public test? 7 MS. L. BARNES: Fourteen days. 8 There's a deadline by MR. K. CONRAD: 9 statute and it's basically scheduling with the 10 counties. 11 COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: So 14 days before 12 November 3rd is? 1.3 MR. K. CONRAD: Actually, we have it 14 scheduled for October 6th or 7th because of 15 scheduling quirks. 16 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: And you're 17 scheduled for Ball State on October 7th through 18 the 9th? 19 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I heard... 20 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: That same week. 21 That same week. COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: 22 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: How can you test 23 something that's not been approved? 24 MR. K. CONRAD: Well, we still have the 25

grant funding. We still have...

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You've got the SSL?

MR. K. CONRAD: Right.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You're going to test
that?

MR. K. CONRAD: We won't be able to and they will not be able to use those machines in the election.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: That's a shame, a real shame.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Well, I guess I have to say that I respect you for being here, whereas some of your competitors did not show up today.

MR. K. CONRAD: Thank you.

commissioner s. Riordan: Is there a
way that -- is there any -- can Ball State, can
you folks sit down and look at calendars and get
this done?

MR. I. PIPER: Will there need to be another Commission meeting before this is...

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Yeah, you're going to have to talk to them and we'll approve their findings.

MR. I. PIPER: And would that be feasible

to have something like that within this time frame with regards to...

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Well, to make sure that people in those two counties have stuff that works, I'll make myself available.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: We'll come in for the counties. We're not coming for you guys.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And that's significant because these two commissioners --

MR. I. PIPER: I appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: I like him but not that much.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: These two

commissioners are driving down from Chicago and up from Evansville. It's not a big deal for me because I'm across the street, as is

Commissioner Riordan. The people being put out for this are my two co-commissioners. If they are willing to come back down --

COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: I am.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: -- because of this, and frankly, again, not for the vendors but for the people of Franklin and Ripley County, I'm certainly willing to accommodate calling a meeting.

MR. K. CONRAD: And that's certainly why 1 we're here. 2 And I do appreciate CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: 3 the fact that you guys were here and stood up 4 and said -- and tried to explain, but I do 5 appreciate the fact that you are here. Is there 6 anybody from Franklin or Ripley County here? 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Anybody who wishes to 9 speak on Premier applications, any other public 10 comment? 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Going once, going 13 twice. 14 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: And I move we table it 15 until the two of them work it out. 16 I have a motion to CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: 17 table the two Premier applications, the August 18 21st and the August 26th applications. 19 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Second it. 2.0 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: The motion's made and 21 seconded, any further discussion? 22 (No response.) 23

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER:

favor, signify by saying aye?

2.4

25

Hearing none, all in

1 |

THE COMMISSIONERS:

2

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Those opposed, same

3

(No response.)

sign?

4 5

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Again, I will commit

6

to try to getting a meeting set conditionally,

7

but that means you guys need to get your tail

8

ends in with Ball State.

9

MR. I. PIPER: We'll sit down -- if we can

10

meet after this meeting here.

11

MR. R. SCHEELE: I was going to say we'll

12

do everything we can to accommodate our

13

schedules. And one other quick statement I'd

14

like to make is I'd like to applaud the county

15

clerks, which they've been very cooperative with

The clerks out there understand, and I

16

this project.

17

think -- and we've been in some of their places

19

to see their systems demonstrated through

20

self-tests before we even went into the vendor's

21

test. So the county clerks are well aware of

2223

what's -- what we're trying to accomplish here and I just want to give them an accolade.

24

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And I appreciate all

25

the county clerks because there's a degree --

1.9

with all due respect, in having served on the county council for a significant period of time, I know on the county council level, the clerks would just come up to us and we would just vote whatever -- I mean, I didn't know anything about election equipment. We changed vendors three times when I was in Boone County, one of which is out of business.

But I think the expertise you guys bring to the table, particularly, at the front end, and I hope the clerks will utilize that in making purchasing decisions as we go down the road, because I think that's the other useful part of this process which you guys bring to the table.

MR. R. SCHEELE: Exactly.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I agree. Welcome aboard, guys.

MR. R. SCHEELE: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Thanks for coming down. You guys, thanks for coming down. Next on my agenda, I've got, and I note I'm going to take public comment on ES&S, and I'd like you guys to stay for this, one issue on ES&S, but right now the Monroe County Voting Systems Advisory Council, Kate Cruikshank.

wendor?

MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: MicroVote. We've got

MicroVote Infinities that were bought in 2005

for HAVA accessibility and then we've got the

MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: Hi, my name is Kate
Cruikshank, and that's C-R-U-I-K-S-H-A-N-K.

I've been chairing the Monroe County Voting
Systems Advisory Council, since it was
established this spring, and I believe that our
commissioners in setting it up assumed that we
would be able to come up with a recommendation
for a new voting system in time to feed into
their budget cycle and have that in place for
the 2010 election.

My only reason for being here today is just to share with you our concern that that's extremely difficult to do with the certification and the time line the way it is, and of course, this has all gotten extremely messy and difficult this year, but we were in the position, as you know, of not being able to talk to vendors about the various machines we were considering because they weren't certified in the State of Indiana.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Who is your current vendor?

old M-64 (Phonetic) blue machines? 1 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I suspect you 2 couldn't talk to MicroVote about their machines 3 for... 4 MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: We're okay. 5 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Not because of 6 certification. 7 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Not because of 8 certification. 9 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Because we... 10 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: They are banned from 11 12 selling. MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: No, we're not -- I'm 13 sorry, I left out one crucial piece. 14 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Okav. 1.5 MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: We are committed to a 16 voter verifiable paper trail scan system, so 17 that's what we're looking at. 18 COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Which MicroVote 19 does not do; right? 20 MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: Absolutely. So we've 21 been hoping at -- we're hoping to look at the 22 Premier OSX, the DS-200 and we've been looking 23 at the Hart InterCivic scanner, although it 24 doesn't provide a digital image of the ballot, 25

. 6

1.5

but those are the things that we've been looking at.

All three vendors came in and demonstrated what they could demonstrate, but of course, we couldn't see the ones we wanted to see. And my only -- I just want to raise the issues because, obviously, you all have a lot of things in the process that you're dealing with, but that shows the importance of the Commission and how important it is to coordinate with the counties, and I just want to suggest that perhaps you can be cognizant of county budget processes and how your time lines impact ours, and that's really the only reason I'm here.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Here's the problems, our time lines -- as an example, you saw the Premier application -- having gone through the county council budgeting process way too many times and being very grateful that I don't have to do it anymore, I will say that the application process is driven by when the vendors come to us with the applications.

I mean, as you see here, for example, we didn't get -- from Premier, we didn't get these applications in March. I mean, these

applications we're getting in August, and I think the vendors will say that's because, for example, they're waiting on information from the EAC and federal certifications, okay.

So I appreciate your concerns, but in terms of our application process, we are driven very much by when the applications come in from the vendors, and they can come in whenever. The recertification process is, obviously, driven by statute, but in terms of trying to get the vendors in -- I'd love to see the vendors, and that's what we're hearing from the commissioners, we'd rather see them in early than late because that puts pressure on Ball State.

So I think I'm in agreement. Your budget process, I assume, is August, which is typical for other states, so you would like to see a March application approval prior to that?

MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I'm very sympathetic.

MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: Yeah, I know it's statutory, but thing is if you all aren't thinking about it, it becomes really hard to get the legislature to think about it, and that's

1 the only reason that...

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: One thing, this new system that we're in here now -- I mean, we've got a little bit of a bug or two to work out, and that can be done quickly, but we were prepared today -- I mean, this application for Hart was filed July the 13th and it went through the full process and it was ready to be voted on, but -- and there wasn't an emergency on it today, but we wanted to work out our system a little bit better, but that would be about two months from the time it's filed, and they can shorten them up from that, and when the counties are involved, we come in for special meetings just like we did on Premier here, that when they get their ducks in a roll, we'll come to Indianapolis because the two counties out there need this.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: We've done it for several times.

MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: Please don't misunderstand me. I'm not suggesting that the way the process is going is problematic, the statutory deadline is the issue; in other words, I mean, that -- you all have no control over

that.

vice chair A. Long: Now it will be faster
than it's ever been --

MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: That's great.

vice chair A. Long: -- because we've got professional expertise advising us. I've been on here for way too long, probably 12 or 13 or 14 years, and this is the first time that I've felt comfortable being able to vote to approve these things because we've got somebody that's looking over their expert shoulders that are equally qualified or better qualified.

MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: And I'm sure that those of us in the counties feel the same way.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Well, you all are from
Monroe County.

MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: Well, we're ready to vote. We've got the money put back. We've just got to get the right machines identified and do it.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Let me ask you a question. You raised an issue that they can't show us something, can they not --

MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: By statute -VICE CHAIR A. LONG: -- demonstrate the

machine that's not certified saying that we've 1 got an application pending but we can't sell it 2 to you? 3 MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: No, it's statutory. 4 5 The statute... MS. L. BARNES: The statute says they 6 cannot market. 7 MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: They cannot market. 8 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Market, and I don't 9 know what that means. Maybe that ought to be 10 legislatively dealt with. I mean, as long as 11 they're candid and say this is what we've got, 12 we hope to get it certified, to help you all 13 make the budget decisions, it would seem to me 14 that would not be a -- that would be a 1.5 reasonable approach. 16 MS. K. CRUIKSHANK: Well, I thank you 17 anyway for the approach. 18 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: It's like walking 19 through a liquor store on Sunday. 20 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All right. Anything 21 else on that particular issue, with respect to 22 timing and scheduling and physical impact? 23 (No response.) 24

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Hearing none, I will

25

take some public comment -- I know that there are people here to speak on ES&S, whoever is here on ES&S. Come on up.

MS. S. KIRK: I'm Susan Kirk, I'm the clerk in Vanderburgh County, and that's K-I-R-K. I want to thank the Board for letting us come before you. It's not just me, but there's some other clerks in the audience, too, that may want to say something. I'll make this reasonably brief. I sent you a packet, Brad.

MR. B. KING: It's in the Division.

MS. S. KIRK: Is it?

MR. B. KING: Yes.

MS. S. KIRK: Which you're going to see about some of our concerns with election system and software. The easiest one is the one that says old problems with the original ES&S contract at the top, and it kind of goes through and describes -- the packet is this thick for each one.

MR. B. KING: We haven't gotten one.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: We haven't got it. I think I have an idea what your concerns are because I think we've communicated with them.

MS. S. KIRK: I'm going to give you an idea

what I think happened, when Florida had the chance, federal government mandated new machines, I think the election vendors saw more money, and that's good, that's fine, but they took advantage of several counties by buying products that they sold, you know, for clerks or maybe commissioners that really weren't that astute in running elections and what it takes, and I think that -- I know at least in Vanderburgh County they charged, overcharged for things that we would never use for Vanderburgh through HAVA money to pay for that, so they got way more than their share. During the time when I took over as clerk I questioned them on two items that I realized immediately.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: That at this point is ES&S?

MS. S. KIRK: ES&S, period.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: All right, we're dealing with ES&S.

MS. S. KIRK: Things like precinct kits -you know, cardboard boxes, they were like 102
something odd dollars that they sold, and like I
said, our commissioners didn't know, the clerk
didn't know, and they bought it.

I did question them on that, and I didn't get the money back in my hands, but I put it over to the side that I could use it for something else.

They overcharged for numerous things.

Hopefully, you will get your packets, they're

two great big envelopes that say Attention Brad,
but as you read through that, you will see.

Their billing system -- all of the clerks, as far as I know, we've experienced the same thing, many of the things that were in our contract -- I mean, they threw everything in there, including the kitchen sink, then we would send bills every month, and you would question them what are these bills for? They couldn't even identify what their invoices were for.

I in Vanderburgh County chose not to pay them, because I bought everything from you in the contract, so I didn't pay them, I just put them in a file and that was it. But I think I'm one of the few counties that probably did.

Anyway we went through that, to make it short, ES&S owes Vanderburgh County \$111,000, which I hope we'll be able to get back to go towards new licensing.

The original contracts that all of us have have a stipulation in there, and this will be in your packet, too, that at the end of December 2008, the contract expired, except for the software. We can continue using their software at only -- and they can only increase the cost by 5 percent.

2.

There is a third-party stipulation in the contract, obviously, where they don't want you to sell their equipment, copy it, and so forth and so on, which we would not do.

ES&S, I've been working with them since
February trying to come up with a new contract.

I've had four attorneys say that their contracts
are the most confusing that they have ever read.

They have items in there, just like they did in
the first one, where they can charge you things
that make no sense and they can't even explain
it.

To make a long story short, what ES&S has done, because now they have competition, it's the American way, it should be that way -- they have competition from especially one company, and there are a couple other ones out there, too, that now their contract, the third-party

stipulation is so stringent that they even want to approve the employees that I hire to work in the election office if they're going to be working on any kind of software trying to program it or anything like that. I think that's unfortunate.

What I'm asking this Commission, if they will support the clerks, help support the clerks that ES&S should not be able to have language in their contracts that stringent telling us that they are the only ones that can perform what they call support services with their equipment.

I even asked ES&S, all I want is a software license agreement, that's it. That's all I want. Even in their supposedly only software license agreement, the third-party stipulation is in there, and you will get that, saying that they're the only ones that can perform the support services.

If we have to, we will go to court and file suit against them. Our board, election board sent a letter to them saying that all we want is software, nothing else. We will see what the response is. Marion County already did, and their response back from ES&S was no. So --

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: How many counties -how many counties are in your similar boat -- I
see Miss Ping (Phonetic) over here nodding her
head? I know we have Marion.

MS. S. KIRK: In St. Joe, she had to -- Rita had to leave. She had a meeting.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: The one counting the ballots.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So you've got St.

Joe, Vanderburgh, Marion...

MS. A. NUSSMEYER: Posey and Porter. There are five counties.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: So we've got five counties. From my standpoint -- here's the concern that I have, and part of this is reflected on what we saw from ES&S today, which is they didn't show up. They didn't support their application.

I have a real problem, now that I've heard that ES&S has -- I don't know if it's concluded with Premier, they've taken over Diebold with those counties, they have accomplished a relationship with MicroVote, or are in the process of accomplishing a relationship with MicroVote, but I have a concern with ES&S as a

vendor that looks like they're picking up something close to the -- they've got 90 of the 92 Indiana counties and having this kind of service issue, these kinds of problems with ES&S.

What I would suggest to my fellow commissioners, I would be very interested in -- and I don't know -- I know from other litigation that the Division or the Commission has the ability to investigate certain issues. This is -- we're getting awfully close to a monopoly on the election systems, and what I'm hearing is, like a lot of monopolistic operations, there tends to be poor customer support, and when that customer support is reflected on the voting in the State of Indiana, I have a real problem with that.

As a consequence, I would -- first of all,
I guess I would ask my counsel over here whether
we have the power to initiate an investigation
of ES&S's practices, their business practices
here in the State of Indiana, particularly, as
they relate to election equipment as indicated
by Susan here today; do we have that power to do
that?

MR. D. SIMMONS: The section where we have expressed issues like this before, it's 3-6-7-4.1, think it's 19.

MS. L. BARNES: 19, the subpoena power, is that it?

MR. D. SIMMONS: No, it's 21. And typically, we've used it to investigate allegations of violations of this type, Title 3. We were talking about -- I don't know what -- what these are, in terms of unfair trade practices, monopoly practices.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: At least what I'm hearing right now is you believe that the contract is -- give specifics?

MS. S. KIRK: Unenforceable.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I want to know if we ought to initiate an investigation into ES&S in a manner in which they're selling and contracting for election equipment in the State of -- services for election equipment in the State of Indiana -- I think we do have the power to do that, am I phrasing it that way?

MR. D. SIMMONS: I think that needs to be something entitled that. I don't know what that is.

2.3

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: We have the power over marketing sales, at least for the certification process?

MR. D. SIMMONS: Certification.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And we certainly have at least four pending applications either for ES&S or Premier; correct, so I think that gives us the ability to initiate an investigation into that; correct?

MR. D. SIMMONS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Leslie?

MS. L. BARNES: When I'm looking at Section 21 that Dale referred to, it does say if the Commission determines there's a reason to believe an election law violation has occurred, the Commission shall expeditiously make an investigation.

But Section 19 seems to maybe be a little broader power, the subpoena power, it says the Commission has the power to subpoena members to appear before the Commission or members to appear in the Division's office and be examined by the co-directors. Perhaps -- not calling it an investigation but perhaps you could direct the co-directors to --

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Inquire.

MS. L. BARNES: -- inquire or conduct...

chairman T. wheeler: How about this, what if we -- we are clearly going to have a second meeting, a meeting before the election on Hart InterCivic, as well as perhaps Premier, if they get their stuff together, what if we ask for the counsel to provide us some direction as to our ability to initiate this investigation?

vice Chair A. Long: I think we've got a packet coming from Mrs. Kirk, that if they take the packet -- I mean, I'd like to see a copy myself, as I'm sure everyone else would, but if counsel would review the packet to discern, maybe with some input from us, what might be perceived as actual Title 3 violations --

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Get the report back to us at the next Commission meeting and we can initiate a formal investigation.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Get the report back and decide what parameters of we want to investigate and what we want to ask them to bring before -- but I'm most anxious to see -- I would suggest that if we don't get that in the next -- I guess we can address it to Brad, but

if we don't get that in the next couple of days, 1 2 let's follow up. MS. S. KIRK: I sent it. I would have 3 sworn -- it was two big manila envelopes, and I 4 had three. 5 MR. B. KING: May I ask a question, Mr. 6 Chairman? 7 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Yes. 8 MR. B. KING: Susie, did you send that 9 certified? 10 MS. S. KIRK: No. 11 I see. Sometimes things need MR. B. KING: 12 to be sent certified and sometimes that... 13 MS. S. KIRK: Like I said, it was two big 14 15 envelopes. CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: We'd like to get it, 16 and if you want to make copies now of what you 17 got there so Brad has it, because I do want to 18 see it. I think this commission is receptive to 19 what you're saying -- clearly, the commission 20 members are, and we're interested in seeing what 21 you've got and working with the Division within 22 the parameters of what our legal authority is as 23 far as our ability to investigate things because 24

I share your concerns.

25

MS. S. KIRK: We had to purchase this equipment to begin with. It's our tax dollars that pays for all of this. And I think that we should be able -- as clerks all we want to do is run a cost effective, fair, and accurate election, and as we all agreed, yes, I'm paid by the government, but I pay taxes, too, and I think when the money is misused, when they're taking advantage, I think they certainly need to be...

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: As Commissioner Long mentioned, maybe we'll involve the AG's office in this, because obviously, they are involved in consumer protection as well as -- and I trust there are issues in that regard related to that.

Our focus would really be on the election equipment, and the fact that if the agreement impairs your ability to run an election for -- for example, if ES&S is saying sorry, it's our people or nothing and that impairs our ability to run an election, I think we would need to take a look at it.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: And enforce the law.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: And enforce it,

absolutely.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I think our mandate 1 under the statute is broader rather than 2 narrower -- I mean, it's not limited, it's to 3 enforce the election laws in the State of 4 Indiana. That's pretty broad. 5 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: That sounds pretty 6 broad to me. All right, I think the Division 7 knows what the commissioners are looking for. 8 We're committed to having another meeting. Any 9 other comment from the commissioners? 1.0 COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Thank you for 11 coming. 12 MS. S. KIRK: Thank you. 13 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Yeah, I appreciate you 14 bringing it to our attention. 15 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I'll accept a motion 16 to adjourn? 17 COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: So moved. 18 MS. S. KIRK: Thank you very much. 19 Thank you. Motion's CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: 20 been made, anybody second it? 21 COMMISSIONER D. DUMEZICH: Second it. 22 CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Motion's been made 23 and seconded, all in favor, signify by saying 24 aye? 25

1 4

THE COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Do you all want to say anything else?

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: I'm sorry.

MS. D. BUTLER: I'm Donna Butler, Posey

County Clerk, and again, I'm on board with

Susie, we have ES&S, and like this past few

months ago, I received a bill from ES&S, they

cannot explain it. I've sent them e-mails

asking. They're billing from 2007 again, and

they've already been paid. They're asking us to

pay for service -- we don't have elections this

year. They just keep billing and keep billing.

Luckily, I keep the claims, they've all been turned in, the auditor has it. I've been the clerk for three years, and ES&S has never come in and checked our machines, serviced or done anything to any of our voting machines.

They called me for the first time in three years on Wednesday, and asked, you know, why have I not paid my bill? I said we don't have an election this year. They're contract has run out with Posey, and we're still waiting to see what we do -- yes, we have to buy the software and the license, but I'm here 100 percent.

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	- []

2

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Will you provide that information -- I assume somebody from the Division will be contacting you.

MS. D. BUTLER: Yes, we'll get it, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Get that through and I think we're inclined to take a look at that.

MS. D. BUTLER: I have it all with me.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Any of the counties that are here -- we've got one from Vanderburgh, one from Posey, wherever else we're, St. Joe's perhaps is one of them and this is...

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: It's a concern.

MS. D. BUTLER: I sent them an e-mail just the other day asking them to break down line item of -- you know, they sent me a bill that I owed, you know, 30 something thousand dollars and they listed everything and I said I need it broke down as to what this is for, and they called me and said well, we don't understand what you're asking. So this is where we're at. I'll get you that information.

CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER S. RIORDAN: Thank you.

VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Thank you for coming

in. CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Motion's been made and seconded, all those in favor, signify by saying aye? THE COMMISSIONERS: Aye. CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Those opposed, same sign? (No response.) CHAIRMAN T. WHEELER: Thank you very much. Thanks everybody for coming. (At this time the proceedings were adjourned.) 

STATE OF INDIANA SS: COUNTY OF HENDRICKS ) I, Rhonda J. Hobbs, RPR, and a Notary Public and Stenographic Reporter within and for the County of Hendricks, State of Indiana at large, do hereby certify that the transcript is a full, true and correct transcript made from my stenograph notes. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this day of October, 2009. My Commission Expires: August 24, 2017 County of Residence: Hendricks County